

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/902,113	07/10/2001	R. Terry K. Baker	1.902.12	8204
26000 7	7590 07/17/2003			
HENRY E. NAYLOR & ASSOCIATES			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 86060 BATON ROUGE, LA 70879-6060			HENDRICKSON, STUART L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	

DATE MAILED: 07/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.		
Office Action Commence	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	Examiner No. A. Sh	Group Art Unit
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence address –
P riod for Reply	1 0 1	,
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	_ MONTHUS) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ply within the statutory minin expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ute, cause the application to	mum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. In become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
X Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>	
☐ This action is FINAL.		•
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	for formal matters, pros C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims		•
Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	-	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)		
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s) — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	are subject to restriction or election	
Application Papers		requirement
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved [☐ disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-	-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	ceived.	
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	ceived in Application No	Ď
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	have been received	
in this national stage application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a))
*Certified copies not received:		•
Attachment(s)		

Office Action Summary

Trademark Office

☐ Notice of Ref rence(s) Cited, PTO-892

☐ Inf mation Disclosure Stat m nt(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).

□ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing R vi w, PTO-948

Part of Paper No.

☐ Intervi w Summary, PTO-413

☐ Other..

☐ Notice f Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Application/Control Number: 09/902,113

Art Unit: 1754

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-20, drawn to a nanotube, classified in class 423, subclass 447.2.

II. Claims 21-34, drawn to making a nanotube, classified in class 423, subclass 447.3.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are possibly related as process of making and product made. If so, the inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made using a different catalyst or with a different gas or by atomic force microscopy.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different subject matter and classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (703) 308-2539.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754